

Comment on “High-Frequency Dynamics in Metallic Glasses”

A recent Letter reports x-ray Brillouin data on a metallic glass, $\text{Ni}_{33}\text{Zr}_{67}$ [1]. Longitudinal acoustic (LA) excitations of energy $\hbar\Omega$ are found well above an independently observed boson peak (BP), located at $\hbar\Omega_{\text{BP}} \approx 3$ meV. The authors claim that $\text{Ni}_{33}\text{Zr}_{67}$ is strong in the sense of Angell [2]. From the linewidth Γ of the acoustic signal, they also claim a Ioffe-Regel (IR) crossover, $\Gamma = \Omega/\pi$, at $\hbar\Omega_{\text{IR}} \approx 23$ meV [3]. The latter occurs at a large scattering vector $Q \approx 14 \text{ nm}^{-1} > Q_p/2$, where Q_p is the position of the main peak in the static structure factor $S(Q)$. In this Comment, we remark that the 3 meV feature corresponds to a rather small excess of modes. It is a very weak BP and $\text{Ni}_{33}\text{Zr}_{67}$ is a fragile glass. We also point out that for $Q \geq Q_p/2$, the observed linewidth Γ does not mainly reflect mode damping. Hence, it does not determine a meaningful Ω_{IR} and no IR crossover occurs there on the LA mode of $\text{Ni}_{33}\text{Zr}_{67}$.

Our first point is that the BP is weak. $\text{Ni}_x\text{Zr}_{100-x}$ alloys were extensively studied for the past three decades, experimentally and with simulations. Experiments are preferable here for quantitative estimates. Consider the temperature dependence of the specific heat $C(T)$ at low T . An excess of modes at ~ 3 meV should produce a hump in C/T^3 at ~ 7 K. $C(T)$ in $\text{Ni}_{33}\text{Zr}_{67}$ is complicated by a superconducting transition at $T_c \approx 2.7$ K [4]. However, in the normal state—above T_c or at a field above the second critical field—one finds that $C \approx \gamma T + \beta T^3$, at least from 2 to 10 K [4,5]. The large $\gamma \approx 4.48$ mJ/mol K² mainly arises from the electronic contribution, while β is slightly greater than the Debye value $\beta_D = C_D/T^3$, where C_D is the Debye specific heat. A good value of β_D might be that directly observed on $C(T)$ well below 1 K, deep in the superconducting state where the electronic contribution vanishes, $\beta_D \approx 0.185$ mJ/mol K⁴ [4]. Otherwise, one can use the value 0.159 mJ/mol K⁴ corresponding to a Debye temperature $\theta_D = 230$ K. The latter is derived from the experimental elastic moduli extracted from [1,6]. Plotting $(C - \gamma T)/T^3$ vs T , using C from [5,7], we find a small hump in the region from 7 to 11 K, peaking at $\beta_{\text{max}} \approx 0.22$ mJ/mol K⁴. Using either value of β_D , the excess $(\beta_{\text{max}} - \beta_D)/\beta_D$ is very small, ~ 0.2 or ~ 0.4 , respectively. According to [8], this places $\text{Ni}_{33}\text{Zr}_{67}$ among the very fragile glasses, like CKN or OTP.

However, Scopigno *et al.* [1] claim that $\text{Ni}_{33}\text{Zr}_{67}$ is strong, with a fragility index $m \approx 25$. At first, this seems to disprove [8]. However, the evidence for m in [1] is indirect, based either on a disputable wide extrapolation of simulation results or on an empirical relation [9]. There exists a direct measurement on $\text{Ni}_{60}\text{Zr}_{40}$ giving $m \approx 90$ in Fig. 10 of [10], in excellent agreement with the small excess noted above. Instead of a failure of [8], it rather seems that [9] fails in this hyperquenched glass.

To summarize, the BP being so weak, the hybridization mechanism described in [11] can hardly be active. The

absence of IR-crossover effects agrees with observations in the first pseudo-Brillouin zone [1]. Consider now spectra for Q near and above $Q_p/2$ [1]. Figure 5 of [12] shows that these spectra should acquire a sizeable width, just as observed [1]. The origin of this broadening lies in diffuse umklapp scattering. Static reciprocal-space vectors \mathbf{G} , distributed spherically according to $S(G)$, combine with modes of wave vector \mathbf{q} , to produce scattering at $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{q}$ [13]. As Q nears $Q_p/2$, the densely distributed sheets at $|\mathbf{G}| \approx Q_p$ combine with phonons with $|\mathbf{q}| \sim Q_p/2$. The signal integrates then over a progressively larger spread of q values, increasing the apparent $\Gamma(Q)$. Hence, the crossover criterion $\Gamma = \Omega/\pi$, in which Γ should reflect real damping, becomes meaningless beyond $Q_p/2$. In fact, if a IR crossover would exist at all, it should already appear in the first pseudo-Brillouin zone, i.e., for $Q < Q_p/2$. In this respect, we note that no data are obtained in [1] for $Q < 1.8 \text{ nm}^{-1}$, while a BP at ~ 3 meV suggests a crossover wave vector of only $\sim 1 \text{ nm}^{-1}$.

To conclude, $\text{Ni}_{33}\text{Zr}_{67}$ has a very weak BP and no IR crossover was observed on the LA mode. A IR crossover is expected at $\Omega_{\text{IR}} \approx \Omega_{\text{BP}}$ if and only if the BP contains a sufficient excess of modes [11], which might not be the case here. The discussion in Scopigno *et al.* [1] should be considerably revised.

The authors thank Dmitri Parshin for a critical reading and Roger Haydock for clarifying comments on the implications of [12].

E. Courtens, M. Foret, B. Rufflé, and R. Vacher
Laboratoire des Colloïdes, Verres et Nanomatériaux
UMR 5587 CNRS
Université Montpellier II
F-34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

Received 11 April 2006; published 13 February 2007

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.079603](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.079603)

PACS numbers: 61.43.Fs, 61.10.Eq, 63.50.+x

- [1] T. Scopigno, J.-B. Suck, R. Angelini, F. Albergamo, and G. Ruocco, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 135501 (2006).
- [2] C. A. Angell, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **131-3**, 13 (1991).
- [3] The value of $\hbar\Omega_{\text{IR}}$ in the text of [1] is too small by a factor π , as seen from their Fig. 3a.
- [4] H. W. Gronert *et al.*, *Z. Phys. B* **63**, 173 (1986).
- [5] C. Sürgers *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 8787 (1989).
- [6] Y. D. Dong *et al.*, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **43**, 403 (1981).
- [7] K. S. Gavrichev *et al.*, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **16**, 1995 (2004).
- [8] A. P. Sokolov *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 2405 (1997).
- [9] T. Scopigno *et al.*, *Science* **302**, 849 (2003).
- [10] R. Busch *et al.*, *Acta Mater.* **46**, 4725 (1998).
- [11] B. Rufflé *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 045502 (2006).
- [12] J. Hafner and M. Krajčí, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **6**, 4631 (1994).
- [13] J. Hafner, *J. Phys. C* **14**, L287 (1981).